



Universitas Hasanuddin

Muhammad Niswar &lt;niswar@unhas.ac.id&gt;

**[IEEE CyberneticsCom 2022] Your paper #1570812072 ('IoT and AI-enabled Physical Distance Monitoring Application to Prevent COVID19 Transmission')**

1 message

IEEE CyberneticsCom 2022 &lt;ieeecyberneticscom2022-chairs@edas.info&gt;

Thu, Jun 2, 2022 at 8:24 PM

To: Mohammad Dwipa Furqan &lt;furqanmd17d@student.unhas.ac.id&gt;, Andani Achmad &lt;andani@unhas.ac.id&gt;, Wardi Wardi &lt;wardi@unhas.ac.id&gt;, Muhammad Niswar &lt;niswar@unhas.ac.id&gt;

Cc: Iqsyahiro Kresna A &lt;hirokresna@gmail.com&gt;, D C Fransisca &lt;diandra@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;, Arief Hamdani Gunawan &lt;arief.h.g@gmail.com&gt;, Alfin Hikmaturokhan &lt;alfin@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;, Emi Iryanti &lt;emi.iryanti@mail.ugm.ac.id&gt;, Anggun Fitriani Isnawati &lt;anggun@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;, Danny Kurnianto &lt;dannykurnianto@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;, Muhammad Zidny Naf'an &lt;muhammadzidny@mail.ugm.ac.id&gt;, Muhammad Nasrun &lt;nasrun@telkomuniversity.ac.id&gt;, Ridwan Pandiya &lt;ridwanpandiya@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;, Novian Adi Prasetyo &lt;novian@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;, Tenia Wahyuningrum &lt;tenia@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;, Aditya Wijayanto &lt;aditya.wijayanto@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id&gt;

Dear Mr. Mohammad Furqan:

Congratulation! Your papers #1570812072 ('IoT and AI-enabled Physical Distance Monitoring Application to Prevent COVID19 Transmission') has been accepted to present at CyberneticsCom 2022. Please make the necessary revision based on reviewers' comments and suggestions. The detailed reviews are at the bottom of this email or can be found at <https://www.edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570812072>, using your EDAS login name [furqanmd17d@student.unhas.ac.id](mailto:furqanmd17d@student.unhas.ac.id) as described in the bottom of this email.

Please also consider 4 mandatory steps for IEEE CyberneticsCom 2022 for author:

**1. Registration and payment**At least one author has to register for the conference. Payment and registration details can be found at <https://www.edas.info/r29371>. Please note that the due date for payment and registration is June 7, 2022.**2. PDF eXpress (IEEE Xplore compatible)**Your revised manuscript must be compatible with IEEE Xplore. Please check with PDF eXpress at <http://ieeepdf-express.org/>**Creating your PDF eXpress Account**

Log in to the IEEE PDF eXpress TM site

First-time users should do the following:

Select the New Users at <https://ieeepdf-express.org/>

Enter the following:

55287X for the Conference ID

Continue to enter information as prompted.

An Online confirmation will be displayed and an email confirmation will be sent verifying your account setup.

**3. Final "camera-ready" manuscript**The final "camera-ready" manuscript of your papers should be submitted in the same manner as the review manuscript using EDAS at <https://www.edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570812072> before June 7, 2022.**4. Electronic copyright form**

The copyright form should be submitted electronically through EDAS before June 7, 2022.

Should you have any questions, please kindly check at <https://www.edas.info/help.php?c=29371> and do not hesitate to contact me by email. We look forward to seeing you at the Conference.

Sincerely,

TPC Chair

Dr. Ridwan Pandiya

[ridwanpandiya@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id](mailto:ridwanpandiya@ittelkom-pwt.ac.id)

## Detail Reviews:

## ===== IEEE CyberneticsCom Review 1 =====

> \*\*\* Suitability: Suitability of the title to the content and conference topics.  
Good (3)

> \*\*\* Abstract: Abstract sufficiently informative  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Originality: Originality/Novelty  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Methodology: Methodology sufficiently described and appropriate  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Results: Results/Conclusions supported by data analysis  
Poor (1)

> \*\*\* Clarity: Clarity in the presentation of findings and formal structure  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Comments to Authors: Specific Comments by the Referee for the Author(s)

The authors present a system that underpins the enforcement of social distancing, through capturing images from cameras, detecting human figures on the captured images and evaluating the distance between detected figures.

The application might be useful and is of interest in the present situation. However the authors do not give any indication on the accuracy that the proposed method achieves in various setups; the only accuracy measures presented are based on a single picture in a single setup (classroom) only.

Furthermore, the paper does not present any comparison with other systems, nor it lists how it advances the state-of-the-art against other works. The related work section is lacking, since many systems that implement the same functionality have been published both as academic papers and as industrial articles, including:

<https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/2104/2104.10891.pdf>

<https://www.nec.com/en/global/rd/technologies/202006/index.html>

<https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/developer/articles/reference-implementation/multi-camera-monitoring-reference-implementation.html>

<https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/2/418> (this paper includes an evaluation sections that the authors could consult to improve their paper)

<https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1916/1/012039/pdf> (though retracted for other reasons, the content refers to the specific topic)

## ===== IEEE CyberneticsCom Review 2 =====

> \*\*\* Suitability: Suitability of the title to the content and conference topics.  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Abstract: Abstract sufficiently informative  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Originality: Originality/Novelty  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Methodology: Methodology sufficiently described and appropriate  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Results: Results/Conclusions supported by data analysis  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Clarity: Clarity in the presentation of findings and formal structure  
Fair (2)

> \*\*\* Comments to Authors: Specific Comments by the Referee for the Author(s)

1. The structure and layout of the paper should be consistent throughout.
2. The usage of the English Language should be improved throughout the paper.
3. Abstract Section can be improved.
4. Technical depth of the paper is limited and should be improved.

5. Reference section should be strengthened with recent ones.

=====  
===== IEEE CyberneticsCom Review 3 =====

> \*\*\* Suitability: Suitability of the title to the content and conference topics.

Good (3)

> \*\*\* Abstract: Abstract sufficiently informative

Good (3)

> \*\*\* Originality: Originality/Novelty

Excellent (4)

> \*\*\* Methodology: Methodology sufficiently described and appropriate

Excellent (4)

> \*\*\* Results: Results/Conclusions supported by data analysis

Good (3)

> \*\*\* Clarity: Clarity in the presentation of findings and formal structure

Good (3)

> \*\*\* Comments to Authors: Specific Comments by the Referee for the Author(s)